

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 JOSEPH PUCKETT,

CASE NO. CV F 05-0277 LJO DLB

12 Plaintiff,

**CLARIFICATION ORDER**  
(Doc. 90.)

13 vs.

14 CHIEF OF POLICE DYER,  
et al.,

15 Defendants.  
16 \_\_\_\_\_/

17 This Court understands that plaintiff, after significant delay, appeared for an independent medical  
18 examination ("IME"). Such delay prejudiced defendants to timely and efficiently address and defend  
19 plaintiffs' claims. Plaintiff's ultimate appearance at an IME neither unwinds prejudice to defendants  
20 nor forgives plaintiff's blatant disobedience of orders to upend the reasoning and grounds for this  
21 Court's dismissal of plaintiffs' claims. This Court's February 14, 2008 Order On Defendants' Motion  
22 To Dismiss for Disobedience Of Orders remains in effect.  
23

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 **Dated: February 19, 2008**

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE